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## WILL COVER:
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- Managing Economic Capital


## Case Study - a block of Single Premium Immediate Annuities (SPIA)

| Age | Annual Benefit | Lives |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 50,000 | 7,000 |
| 70 | 43,600 | 6,000 |
| 75 | 38,800 | 5,000 |
| 80 | 34,200 | 4,000 |
| 85 | 27,700 | 3,000 |

* All lives are males


## Statutory Reserves

- Statutory Reserve for Immediate Annuities
- Defined deterministic formula using prescribed mortality table with prescribed discount rate
- Annuity 2000 mortality table
- Contains 10\% reduction to mortality rates
- Does NOT reflect mortality improvement
- Statutory Discount Rate
- Current discount rate is $5.50 \%$
- Principles Based Reserving - the future
- Still far off as they have not yet focused on Payout Annuities


## Statutory Capital

- NAIC Risk Based Capital (RBC)
- Factor driven formula
- $\quad$ C1 - Asset Default Risk
- C2 - Insurance Mispricing Risk
- C3 - Interest Rate Mismatch Risk
- C4 - General Other Risks
- Formula to reflect correlation adjustments
- Companies usually hold multiples of Company Action Level RBC
- Level depends on desired rating
- Usually $250 \%$ to $450 \%$


## RBC for SPIA block

- C1 and C3 are non-zero
- C2 and C4 are zero
- There is no RBC charge for longevity risk
- Given recent levels of mortality improvement, this is probably an oversight


## Economic Reserves and Capital

- Principles Based Approach
- No set definition, although building consensus
- Economic Reserve calculated as best estimate valuation
- With or without margins
- Economic Capital defined as additional capital that satisfies a defined risk measure
- For example, CTE90 or $99.5^{\text {th }}$ Percentile


## Economic Calculation Methodology

- Stochastic Process
- Provides useful information (e.g., confidence intervals, standard deviations)
- CTE90 or $99.5^{\text {th }}$ percentile economic liability values could be an amount that represents economic capital
- Need to recognize dynamic assumption set
- Assuming a static assumption set will not provide useful information relating to confidence intervals


## Volatility in Underlying Assumptions

- Mortality
- Underlying baseline mortality table
- Is the base table appropriate for population being valued?
- Future Trends in Mortality Improvement
- General trends based on historical levels of volatility
- Extreme longevity events not reflected in historical levels of volatility (e.g., medical breakthrough that significantly reduces cancer related deaths)
- Catastrophic mortality events (e.g., pandemic, terrorist attack)


## Economic Capital

- Assuming best estimate investment return, Economic Capital is a measure of longevity risk (for SPIAs)
- A representative value for C2
- Need to also reflect asset related risk
- The discount rate is a sensitive issue in Principles Based Reserve discussions
- Could discount at treasuries to eliminate default and spread risk
- Could discount at expected earned rate assumption less a charge for a total return swap


## Statutory Reserves and Capital (\$ in billions)

| Age | Benefit | Lives | NSP | Stat Rsv @ 5.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 50,000 | 7,000 | 12.09 | 4.23 |
| 70 | 43,600 | 6,000 | 10.68 | 2.79 |
| 75 | 38,800 | 5,000 | 9.21 | 1.79 |
| 80 | 34,200 | 4,000 | 7.74 | 1.06 |
| 85 | 27,700 | 3,000 | 6.37 | 0.53 |
| Total Stat Reserve |  |  |  | \$10.40 |
| CAL RBC C-1 Risk - Asset Default |  |  |  | 0.11 |
| CAL RBC C-2 Risk - Insurance Risk |  |  |  | 0.00 |
| CAL RBC C-3 Risk - Interest Rate Mismatch |  |  |  | 0.05 |
| Total CAL RBC |  |  |  | 0.16 |
| 400\% CAL RBC |  |  |  | \$0.64 |
| Total Asset Requirement |  |  |  | \$11.04 |

## Static Economic Assumptions

- Annuity 2000 Basic Table
- Same as statutory mortality table without $10 \%$ loading for conservatism
- Mortality Improvement starting in 2000
- Based on historical improvement in general population mortality rates
- Assumed Earned Rate is 5.50\%
- same as Statutory Discount Rate
- But pay 75 bps for a total return swap (reflects hedge for credit losses and interest rate mismatch)
- That guarantees $4.75 \%$ return
- Thus, use $4.75 \%$ discount rate for discounting economic cash flows


## Dynamic Mortality Assumptions

- Volatile Baseline Mortality Table
- Normal Distribution with $5 \%$ standard deviation
- Volatile Mortality Improvement Assumption
- Based on historical levels of volatility in mortality improvement by age and gender
- Reflects correlations across age groups and time intervals
- Reflects the probability of extreme mortality improvement outside historical trends
- Medical breakthroughs
- Reflects the probability of a catastrophic mortality event (e.g., pandemic)
- Not relevant for determining economic capital in a SPIA block but would be relevant in determining economic capital in a block of close out annuities that pay death benefits before retirement


## Economic Reserve and Capital (\$ in billions)

(1) Average Economic Liability Value discounted at 5.50\% ("Economic Reserve")
(2) Economic Liability Value discounted at 5.50\%
(3) Economic Liability Value discounted at 4.75\%

Capital for Longevity Risk (2) - (1)
Capital for Asset Risk (3) - (2)
Total Economic Capital: (3) - (1)
\$10.61
99.5th Percentile
$\$ 11.44$
\$12.18
$\$ 0.83$
\$0.74
$\$ 1.57$
$\$ 1.26$

## Comparison of Statutory to Economic (\$ in billions)

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Economic99.5 thEconomic 90 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Statutory | Percentile | (1) / (2) | CTE | (1) / (4) |
| Reserve | \$10.40 | \$10.61 | 98\% | \$10.61 | 98\% |
| Capital for Asset Risk | \$0.64 | \$0.74 | 86\% | \$0.70 | 90\% |
| Capital for Longevity Risk | \$0.00 | \$0.83 | 0\% | \$0.55 | 0\% |
| Total Capital | \$0.64 | \$1.57 | 40\% | \$1.26 | 51\% |
| Asset (Reserve + Capital) | \$11.04 | \$12.18 | 91\% | \$11.87 | 93\% |

Distribution of Current Economic Liability - discounted at 4.75\%

Distribution of Scenarios by Present Value on Valuation Date


Economic Liability Value Over Time (with static assumptions) discounted at 4.75\%



## Economic Liability Value Over Time (with volatile assumptions) discounted at 4.75\%

Distribution of Scenarios by Present Value on January 1 of Each Calendar Year


Economic Liability Value as a Percentage of the Average Value
$\underline{100 \%} \square$ 00.070

## Average Economic Liability with Volatile Assumptions

 / Average Economic Liability with Static Assumptions - 1 $\perp$


Milliman

## How to Reduce Economic Capital

- Not an easy task
- First step - Analysis
- Recognize volatility in underlying assumptions
- Analyze annuity liabilities in conjunction with life insurance liabilities
- Negatively correlated, but not perfectly
- Next Step - Action
- Longevity Derivatives
- Longevity Bonds and Longevity Swaps
- Target new business to complement existing risks
- Work with Rating Agencies
- ERM programs may result in improved ratings
- May give credit and lower required capital based on initial analysis


## Economic Capital with Longevity Bond

- 10 Year Longevity Bond
- \$1 Billion Principal
- Investment Assumption is 4.75\%
- Pay 5.50\% Coupons
- After 10 years, repay principal assuming Economic Liability is below Attachment Point
- Keep proportional principal above Attachment Point until Exhaustion
- Attachment Point = 115\%
- Exhaustion Point = 125\%


## Characteristics of Hypothetical Longevity Bond

- Probability of Attachment - 4.0\% (i.e., 40 scenarios out of 1,000 )
- Expected Loss - 1.2\% of Principal at the end of 10 years
- Average Loss of 40 Attachment scenarios - $\$ 308$ million
- Probability of Exhaustion - 0.2\% (i.e., 2 scenarios out of 1,000)


## Initial Economic Liability Before and After Liability Hedge -

 discounted at 4.75\% (\$ in billions)Average
75th Percentile
90th Percentile
99th Percentile
99.5th Percentile

Before Hedge
\$11.20
\$11.44
\$11.68
\$12.04
\$12.18

After Hedge
\$11.26
\$11.51
\$11.74
\$11.94
\$11.95

Difference
\$0.06
$\$ 0.07$
\$0.06
(\$0.10)
(\$0.22)

## Beginning of Tenth Duration Economic Liability Before and After Liability Hedge - discounted at 4.75\% (\$ in billions)

Average<br>75th Percentile<br>90th Percentile<br>99th Percentile<br>99.5th Percentile

| Before Hedge | After Hedge | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 6.08$ | $\$ 6.07$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 6.41$ | $\$ 6.42$ | $\$ 0.01$ |
| $\$ 6.72$ | $\$ 6.71$ | $(\$ 0.01)$ |
| $\$ 7.22$ | $\$ 6.97$ | $(\$ 0.25)$ |
| $\$ 7.35$ | $\$ 6.98$ | $(\$ 0.37)$ |
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